COMPACTAL

1 2 JUL 1978

(b) (7) (d)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Conversation with Senator Birch Bayh, 11 July 1978

1. I discussed with Senator Bayh the State Department Authorization Act of Fiscal Year '79.

2. I tied my case for both the removal of the phrase about
ambassadorial authority and the amendment of the Case/Zablocki Act to
the conversation earlier in the morning with the President about $^{25 imes1}$
security, pointing out that foreign liaison and foreign agents simply
were going to be worried about dealing with us in the event they knew
an ambassador would have the right to know all the details. I drove
home the noint that

ment alleged that the amendment on ambassadors was no change and therefore simply a redundancy, and that it would be costly to us in the impression given to put a redundancy in a memo. I was asked if Vance 25X1 agreed. I said no, Vance wanted it done the other way--I thought because he felt he would lose face with the ambassadors and others if he backed down--but that Vance had assured me that they would not use any change in the wording here to lead to any change the way we were doing business.

3. I made similar points on the Case/Zablocki issue pointing out that if we had to take every little agreement that we made and turn it into writing and transmit it we just wouldn't get access to some things. I gave him a specific example with respect to and gaining access to various pieces of equipment. He was very sympathetic to this but also wondered how there was any control on this being an end run to the process. I said that for this kind of a thing we had to go to the SCC and that he and I had to work it out in our report to his committee on sensitive clandestine collection that he would be informed of this sort of thing. I did point out there were some cases though when we had to limit the number of people who knew these sensitive clandestine operations because they were so sensitive.

advance cy to Bob Barr, Dic



25X1

2

4. The Senator indicated he would look at my arguments carefully. I pointed out we needed his support because this was a foreign relations committee issue but intelligence equities were at stake.

STANSFIELD TURNER Director